	@ @@@ @@@@@@@ @@@@@@@ @@@@@@@@
	CIRCULATED
J	<b>UDICIAL DECISIONS</b> 1979 – 2015
	@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
	Compiled by

## <u>I N D E X</u>

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
1.	03.10.1979	S.L.P.(Crl.) No.953 of 1979 Thiru.V.R. Krishna Iyer.J. and Thiru. P.N. Shighal.J.	Court and Judges – Sentences in 304-A I.P.C Offences – Non-Compliance with the exhoritions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court – action prayed for remarks of Subordinate Judicial Officers – submitted –Considered – Judgements of Hon'ble Supreme Court – Circulated
	05.12.2007	R.O.C.No.422/2007/F1/RG	- Reg.
2.	16.11.1988	Crl.R.C.No.821 of 1986 and Crl.M.P.Nos.6452 and 6900 of 1982 etc, Thiru. S. Ratnavel Pandian, O.C.J., and Thiru. Dr. David Annoussamy.J. and Thiru. P.K. Sethuraman.J. 1988 L.W (Crl.) 503	Accused are not entitled to court copies before filing charge sheet.
	20.04.2010	High Court, Madras R.O.C.No.1823-A/2010/F1	
3.	01.12.1994	1995 SCC (1) 332, JT 1994 (7) 744, 1994 SCALE (5) 59 Thiru. Jeevan Reddy, B.P(J) and Thiru. Sen,S.C. (J)	T.N.C.S (Disciplinary and appeal) rules initiation of enquiry by an officer subordinate to the appointing authority is concerned; it is well settled now that it is unobjectionable.
4.	10.12.1996	W.P.(C)No. 465 of 1986 Thiru. Kuldip Singh.J., and Thiru. B.L., Hansaria,J., and Thiru.S.B. Majmudar.J.,	Problem-employment – Children in Sivakasi – directions issued.
5.	18.12.1996	W.P.(Crl.) No. 539 of 1986 with W.P.(Crl.) No.592 of 1987, Thiru. Kuldip Singh.J., and Thiru. Dr. A.S Anand. J., A.I.R. 1997 SC 610	Constitution of India, Arts.21, 22(1) – Custodial deaths – Any form of torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment – Fall within inhibition of Art. 21, whether it occurs during investigation, interrogation or otherwise –Supreme Court issued the requirement to be followed in all cases or arrest or detention till legal provisions are made in that behalf as preventive measures.
6.	12.07.1999	Crl. App.No.621 of 1999 Thiru. K.T. Thomas.J. and Thiru. M.B.Shah.J. 2000 MLJ 48	Criminal Procedure code (II of 1974), Sec.311 – Trial of case proceeding almost to the end- Two witnesses re summoned for cross – examination at

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
			the instance of the prosecution – Again when case was closed and posted for hearing arguments, Public Prosecutor requesting court to re summon one prosecution witness and two others, due to oversight committed by the Public Prosecutor – Such oversight, held cannot be equated with 'lacuna' – Word ' lacuna' – Mean – witness is plenary, if it considers it necessary for a just decision.
7.	21.11.2000	Crl.O.P.No.21914 of 1998 and Crl.M.P.No.10620 of 1998 Thiru. M. Karpagavinayagam, J. 2001 MLJ (Crl) 312	Criminal Procedure code (II of 1974), Sections 161 and 311 – Petition to examine additional witnesses – Proposed witnesses originally accused of the crime – Statements were obtained from them as accused – Subsequent investigation veiling that they were only victims statements of such witnesses are statements under sec.161 – Omission of their names in the list of witnesses by the prosecution should not be a ground to curtail best evidence – Trial Court's order allowing petition to examine additional witnesses upheld.
8.	16.04.2002	Crl.A.No.535 to 541 of 2000 Justice. R.C. Lahiti, J R.O.C.No.1350 of 2002,	Right to speedy trial P. Ramachandra rao Vs. State of Karnataka
		Dt:15.07.2002	
9.	07.06.2002	Crl.R.C.No.187 of 2001 Thiru. M. Karpagavinayagam,J.	2002 (0) Crl.J 3820 Remission of sentence by government not court.
10.	01.10.2002	AIR 2003 SC 638 Thiru. M.B.Shah.J. and Thiru. D.M. Dharmadhikari.J.	disposal of properties. (Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujarat)

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
11.	10.03.2004	Crl.O.P.No.8119 of 2004 Thiru. A.K. Rajan.J.	Guidelines to be followed by Magistrates when the complaints u/s 138 of N.I Act are taken on file. The
	08.04.2004	R.O.C.No.156-A/2004/F1	following are the guidelines to be followed by Magistrates when the complaints under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act are taken on filed: 1) At the time of taking the complaint
			<ul> <li>on file, the original cheques shall be produced before the Magistrate.</li> <li>2) The Magistrate shall after affixing the seal of the court with the datae and entering the other particulars such as the Registration number, make an endorsement to that effect on the back</li> </ul>
			of the original cheque. 3) Thereafter the original cheque may be returned to the complainant; a photocopy of the dishonoured cheque may be attached with the complaint.
			<ul><li>4) The original cheque shall be marked while adducing the evidence. (photo- copies are not admissible in evidence when the originals are available).</li><li>5) Summons to the respondents shall be sent along with the copy of the</li></ul>
			<ul><li>complaint.</li><li>6) After the service of summons, if the respondent does not appear, only bailable warrant shall be issued since the offence is bailable.</li><li>7) While issuing such warrant reasons for issuing warrant of arrest shall be recorded.</li></ul>
			8) If, even after the execution of the bailable warrant, the respondent does not appear the securities furnished shall be forfeited, and a non – bailable warrant may also be issued.

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
12.	11.03.2005	Citations 2005 Crl. J.2330 Thiru. Ravindra Singh.J.	Petition filed u/s 125 Cr.P.C can be dismissed for default – Same order can be recalled or set-aside.
13.	11.11.2005	Suo Motu Contempt Petition No. 782 of 2005	
		Thiru. M. Karpagavinayagam.J. and Thiru. S.R. Singharavelu.J. R.O.C.No.4452/2005/RG/F1 , Dt: 07.12.2005	Injunction granted by Judge over ruling H.C. order – contempt action taken against judicial officer – directions issued.
14.	09.03.2006	Crl.M.P.(MD)No.6589 of 2005 in Crl. Appeal (MD) Sr.No.21359 of 2005 Thiru. P.D. Dinakaran,J. and Thiru. M.E.N. Patrudu.J.	The Unit heads of the Districts are hereby instructed to take immediate steps and give necessary directions to all the Criminal court to comply with Rule 184 of the Criminal Rules of
	18.09.2006	R.O.C.No.671/2006/RG/F1	Practice (Madras), strictly, without any deviation.
15.	22.03.2006	Order in Crl.O.P.No.7328 of 2006	NDPS Act - small quantity - bailable
	28.03.2006	R.O.C.No.1253- A/2006/Crl.Sec/F1	offence.
16.	03.08.2006	W.P.(MD).No.4674 of 2006 Madurai Bench	Adolescent offenders to be kept in Borstal school.
17.	12.12.2006	C.R.P.No.2521 of 2000 Mrs.R. Banumathi.J. 2007 (2) L.W 1100	Amount in court deposit is not 'debt' within the meaning of section 214 of Indian Succession Act and therefore the succession certificate is not necessary for making the claim before the court to withdraw the amount awarded.
18.	13.04.2007	W.P.No.36807 of 2006 Thiru. A.P.Shah,C.J. and Thiru. D. Murugesan,J.	Directions regarding accused and victims involved in immoral trafficking. Victim to be sent to vigilance homes.
19.	02.07.2007	W.P.No.22249 of 2007 Thiru. A.P.Shah, C.J. and Thiru. P. Jyothimani, J R.O.C.No.387-A/2015/F1	Directions to Handover the confiscated properties to District collector.
20.	18.07.2007	Crl.O.P.(MD).No.6616 of 2007	Forwarding complaint u/s 156 (3) Cr.P.C – register to be maintained.

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
21.	21.09.2007 24.10.2007	H.C.P.No.1306 of 2007 Thiru. P.D. Dinakaran,J. and Thiru. R. Regupathi.J. R.O.C.No.4268A/07/ F1 and P.Dis. No. 139/2007	Without physical production of accused no remand extension orders to be passed.
22.	24.10.2007	W.P.(Crl.) No.296 of 2005 with W.P.(Crl.) No.18 of 2006 The Chief Justice of India, Thiru. R.V. Raveendran,J and Thiru. Dalveer	Directions - under trial Prisoners and unsound mind - without bail for long period.
	27.12.2007	Bhandari.J R.O.C.No.5300-A/2007/F1 P.Dis.No.167/2007	
23.	07.12.2007	Crl.A.No.1685 of 2007 (Arising out of S.L.P (Criminal) No.6404/2007 Thiru. A.K. Mathur.J. and Thiru. Markandey Katju.J.	Doctrine of implead power It is well- settled that when a power is given to an authority to do something it includes such incidental or implied powers which would ensure the proper doing of that thing.
24.	02.01.2008	Crl.R.C.Nos.188 & 189 of 2007 and M.P.Nos. 1 & 1 of 2007 Thiru. A. Selvam.J.	Dowry Case & Jurisdiction Sec.91 Cr.P.C. cannot be invoked against accused.
25.	07.03.2008	W.P.No.22249 of 2007 (Suo Motu W.P) Thiru. A.P. Shah, C.J., and Tmt.Prabha Sridevan.J.	Directions to hand over confiscated properties to collector.
26.	26.03.2008	W.P.No.36807 of 2006 Thiru. A.P. Shah, C.J., and Tmt.Prabha Sridevan.J.	Directions to J.M. when women victims are produced involving immoral trafficking.
27.	15.04.2008	Crl.A.(MD).Nos.269 and 327 of 2006 Tmt. Prabha Sridevan.J. and Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	All the incriminating evidence elicited from witnesses including the hostile witnesses should be put to the accused during the 313 questioning.
28.	29.04.2008 24.04.2008	R.O.C.No.1667/08/MB/F1 Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.4093, 4094 and 4379 of 2008 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	Whether N.B.W can be issued for non – appearance of accused and further
	10.06.2008	R.O.C.No.1035A/08/F/MB	directions – in bailable offence.

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
29.	29.04.2008	Writ Petition No.33647 of 2007 and Suo Motu W.P.No.32836 of 2007	Recruitment of staffs in court through public advertisements.
		Thiru.S.J.Mukhopadhaya.J. and Thiru.M. Venugopal.J.	
30.	08.05.2008	W.P.(MD).No.4472 of 2008 and M.P.(MD).No.1 of 2008 Thiru. P.K. Misra.J.	C.A. returned as accused not appeared - whenever accused is entitled for the
	10.06.2008	R.O.C.No.998/08/F/MB in P.Dis.No.61/08	same.
31.	07.07.2008	Crl.M.P.No. 1 of 2008 in Crl.O.P.No.10896 of 2008 Thiru. R. Ragupathi.J.	Arrest of persons in relation to Dowry cases- directions.
32.	11.07.2008	Crl.O.P.Nos.15451 & 15981 of 2008 Thiru. R. Ragupathi.J.	Illegal practice adopted by some of the Magistrates mechanically passing orders without application of mind directing for registration of criminal case and police investigation in matters of civil nature – directions.
33.	14.07.2008	W.P.Crl.No.68 of 2008	
		Thiru. B.N. Agrawal.J. and Thiru. G.S. Singhvi.J.	Complaint u/s 156 (3) Cr.P.C - forwarded Initiating disciplinary action against police officers for any inaction
	27.08.2008	R.O.C.No.4038/08/F1 in P.Dis.No.89/08	in instituting a case.
34.	25.07.2008	A.S.No.386 of 1994 Thiru. G. Rajasuria.J.	Minor Children of second marriage were entitled to family pension, but not second wife.
35.	12.08.2008	W.P.No.3080 of 2008 M.P.(MD).No. 1 of 2008	Directions to District Judges dealing with pets under Hindu adoptions and maintenance Act.
36.	19.09.2008	Thiru. K. Chandru.J. H.C.P.No.1041 of 2008	
	17.07.2000	Tmt. Prabha Sridevan.J. and Thiru.V.Periyakaruppiah.J.	Mental Health Act - directions.
	04.11.2008	R.O.C.No.5348A/08/F1 in P.Dis. No.101/2008	

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
37.	19.09.2008 04.11.2008	H.C.P.No.597 of 2008 Tmt. Prabha Sridevan.J. and Thiru.V. Periya Karuppiah.J. R.O.C.No.5348B/08/F1 in P.Dis.No.102/2008	Anticipatory bail granted – accused surrended before J.M. court – surety rejected accused remanded – directions.
38.	20.09.2008	M.P.No. 3 of 2008 in M.P.No.1 of 2008 in Crl. Appeal & R.No.14591 of 2008 Thiru. D. Murugesan.J. and Thiru. M. Sathiyanarayanan.J. R.O.C.No.2144A/08F/M8	Directions of Hon'ble High Court issued in MP(MD).No.1/2008 in Crl.A(SR)(MD).No.14591/2008-ordered to be circulated – Already circulated – Modification issued in M.P (MP).No.3/2008 in MP(MD).No.1/2008 in Crl.A(MD) (SR)No.14591/08- communicated – Suspension of sentence petition – supported by affidavit.
39.	30.10.2008	Crl.R.C.(MD).No.897 and 898 of 2008 Thiru. K.N.Bahsa.J.	Return of property – not remanded to court – petition maintainable.
40.	03.12.2008	M.P.(MD).No. 1 of 2008 in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.880 of 2008 Thiru. K.N.Basha.J.	Reference – whenever bail bond to be executed before J.M.Court or concerned .I.O.
	24.12.08	R.O.C.No.2671C/08/F/MB	
41.	24.03.2009	CPL. OP.(MD). No.1178/2009 Thiru. V. Periyakaruppiah.J	After formal arrest accused to be produced before J.M. Court within 24 Hours.
	09.04.09	R.O.C.No.665A/09/F/MB	
42.	31.03.2009	Crl.R.C.(MD) No.348 of 2007 and M.P.No.1 of 2007 Thiru. A. Selvam.J	The Practice of obtaining an opinion from the concerned public prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor or Assistant public Prosecutor is nothing
	12.06.2009	R.O.C.No.777A/09/F/MB	but unwritten and also unwarranted. for filing charge sheet.
43.	08.10.2009	M.P.No.1 of 2009 in Crl.O.P.No.16253 of 2007 Thiru. R. Ragupathi.J.	Final report to be taken on cognizance within 3 days.
	29.01.2010	R.O.C.No.5920-A/08/F1	
44.	04.11.2009	Crl. O.P.(MD) No.8550 of 2008 Thiru. Raja Elango.J.	A reading of Sec. 468 Cr.P.C and the language in it would clearly show that the power given under Sec. 468 can be exercised only at the time of taking cognizance of the offence.

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
45.	17.11.2009	O.P.No. 418 of 1999 Thiru. K. Chandru. J.	High Court Madras – Adoption – Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act/Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act and the Guardians and Wards Act – Order of Hon'ble Thiru Justice K.
	29.10.2010	R.O.C.No.3069-A/09/F1 in P.Dis.No.6/2010	Chandru – Directions issued – Judgment to be communicated – action requested – reg.
46.	08.01.2010	Contempt petition No.1156 of 2009 Mrs. R. Banumathi.J.	Directions- confiscation of property – order U/S 14 of T.N.P. Act.
47.	1) 17.03.2010 2) 16.11.1998	1. Crl.O.P.No.5317 of 2010 2. Crl.R.C 821 of 1986 and Crl.M.P.Nos.6452, 6900 of 1982, 1907 of 1983 and 2904 of 1986,	Code of Criminal Procedure – furnishing copies of the Statement of witness u/s 161 of Cr.P.C., observation mahazar etc., - during investigation – Certain directions issued – copy of a precedent communicated – reg.
48.	23.04.2010	C.M.A(MD) No.69 of 2010 Thiru.D. Hariparanthaman	Billion rider and occupants of car
	12.04.2011	R.O.C.No.504- A/2011/F/MB in P.Dis.No.10/2011	covered by package police + House wife monthly could be fixed at Rs.3000/-
49.	03.05.2010	Crl.A.No.963 to 966 of 2010 and Arising out of Slp. (Crl.)Nos.6369to 6372 of 2007 Thiru. K.G. Balakrishnan.J. Thiru. Sathasivam.J. Thiru. Panchal.J.	The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India – Orders in Criminal Appeal No.963/2010 in Domodar S. Prabhu v. Sayed Babalal H Compounding of Offences U/s 147 of Negotiable instruments Act 1881 – graded scheme
	15.06.2010	R.O.C.No.2739-A/2010/F1 in P.Dis.No.92/2010 R.O.C.No.3778/2010, Dt:- 21.06.2010.	of imposing costs for undue delay – filing of multiple complaints for same transactions u/s 138 of the Act – certain guidelines issued.
50.	04.06.2010	Crl.R.C.Nos.553 and 554 of 2010. Thiru.C.T. Selvam, J	High Court, Madras – Criminal Jurisdiction – Petitions under Section 397 and 401 of Code of Criminal Procedure – Investigations u/s 156(3) of Cr.P.C., - Ordered by the Metropolitan Magistrates to be done by the Police
	26.10.2010	R.O.C.No.2865-A/2010/F1 in P.Dis.No.133/2010	Officers in areas beyond Metropolitan area of Chennai – Directions to forward the copies – Copies communicated – Reg.

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
51.	07.07.2010	Crl. O. P. (MD) No.5474 of 2010 Thiru. M.M. Sundresh.J. Madurai Bench.	Entertaining application to intervene in bail petition.
Selvam. J. Jurisdiction –	High Court, Madras – Criminal Jurisdiction – Powers of Criminal Courts u/s 451 of Cr.P.C – To order		
	11.08.2010	R.O.C.No.3723-A/2010/F1in P.Dis.No.114/2010	return of vehicle and permitting its sale - Directions issued to Criminal Courts - Copy of the High Court's order communicated -reg.
53.	26.07.2010	Civil Appeal No.6000 of 2010 (Arising out of SLP (C) No.760 of 2007 R.V. Raveendran.J.	The objective with which Sec.89 CPC was enacted has been highlighted in the decision reported in JT 2010 (7) SC 616.
	21.09.2010	R.O.C.No.238/2010/TNMC C/Hct,Ms.	
54.	08.09.2010	Crl.O.P.No.19963 of 2010 M.P.Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of 2010 Thiru.C.T. Selvam, J	Police custody for recovery of
	18.04.2011	R.O.C.No.105-B/2011/F1 in P.Dis.No.32/2011	documents.
55.	22.09.2010	Crl.R.C.No.1701 of 2005 Thiru. Sudanthiram.J.	Trial should not be adjourned for the reason revision is pending. unless stayed by H.C.
56.	19.07.2010	Habeas Corpus Petition No.433 of 2010 Thiru. Nagappan.J.	Judicial Magistrate shall not pass any remand extension order without production of Accused.
57.	03.11.2010	C.R.P.NPD.Nos.3627 and 3785 of 2010 and M.P.Nos.1 +1 of 2010	Petition under HAMA or GAWA directions
58.	19.11.2010	W.P.(MD) No.11372 of 2005 and W.P.M.P No.12096 of 2005 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu. J.	Cr.P.C125(3), 128 when distress and distrait warrant can be issued. (Kodaikanal Case).
	04.02.2011	R.O.C.No.50-A/2011/F/MB in P.Dis.No.2/2011	(Itotulkullul Cuse).

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
59.	22.11.2010	Special Leave to appeal Crl.No.9507/2010 in Crl.M.P.No.23051 of 2010.	All trial courts in India to ordinarily add Section 302 to the charge of section 304B, so that death sentences can be
	18.05.2011	R.O.C.No.459-A/2011/F1	imposed in such heinous and barbaric crimes against women. (Rajbir Case)
60.	07.03.2011	W.P.No.5491 of 2011 and M.P.No.1 of 2011 Chief Justice.	Motor Vehicle – Use of Red Beacon Lights in Official Vehicles – Instructions
	09.03.2011	R.O.C.No.1121-A/2011/D3	issued – Reg.
61.	06.04.2011	Crl.O.P.No.7649 of 2011 Thiru.T. Sudanthiram.J.	High Court Madras – criminal Jurisdiction – S.294(b), 324 and 506(ii)
	18.04.2011	R.O.C.1341-A/2011/F1 in P.Dis.No.29/2011	Indian Penal Code – Bail Petition – Directions of the High Court – Copies communicated – Reg – Sec.324 IPC is bailable offence.
62.	11.04.2011	M.P.No.1 of 2011 in H.C.P.No.55 of 2011 and M.P.No.1 of 2011 in H.C.P.No.1033/2010 C. Nagappan.J. and Thiru.P.R. Sivakumar.J.	When child produced before J.M. if she refuses to go with parents she has to be produced before child welfare committee.
	22.06.2011	R.O.C.No.2054-A/2011/F1 in P.Dis.No.54/2011.	
63.	11.04.2011	Civil Appeal No.10660 of 2010 T&PSCNo.475/2011 Dt: 05.05.2011.	2G case - appointment of Special Public Prosecutor.
64.	09.05.2011	Crl. Appeal No.1117 of 2011 and Special Leave Petition (Crl.) No.1208 of 2011 Thiru.Markandey Katju.J.	Honour killing – circumstancial evidence – Extra judicial confession Hostile witness – Evidence Act Sc 161
	30.09.2011	R.O.C.No.1799A/2011/F/M B	(2).
65.	06.07.2011	Civil Appeal No.5166 of 2003 Thiru.D.K.Jain.J. T&PSC No.2673 of 2011	A subordinate court is bound by the enunciation of law made by the superior court. A coordinate Bench of a Court cannot pronounce judgment contrary to declaration of law made by another Bench.

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
66.	09.09.2011	Crl.A.No.1758 of 2011 T&PSC No.3393/2011, Dt: 02.11.2011.	Criminal Appeal No.1758 of 2011 on the file of the Supreme Court of India – certain guidelines on issuance of non- bailable warrants – Circulated – Regarding.
67.	15.09.2011	Crl.RC(MD).No.488 of 2011 Thiru.S. Palanivelu	Return of Crackers pending trial.
68.	14.10.2011	Crl.O.P(MD).No.13615 of 2011 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	When accused was in prison in one case - police custody for other case -
	09.12.2011	R.O.C.No.2629-A/2011/F1 in P.Dis.No.76/2011	Not necessary- accused can be interrogated in prison itself.
69.	29.10.2011	W.P.No.4183 of 2011 Thiru. D. Hariparanthaman.J.	Final report filed – excluding names found in F.I.R – notice to complainant (Ramdoss case)
70.	03.11.2011	Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13683 of 2011 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu	Formal Arrest – Production of Accused on P.T Warrant need not be produced within 24 hours. (K.N. Nehru Case)
71.	09.11.2011	Crl.O.P(MD).No.14098 of 2011 Thiru. Nagamuthu.J.	IPC 274, 332, 333, 353 offences non bailable.
72.	21.12.2011 20.04.2012	Crl.O.P.(MD).No.14156 of 2011 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J. R.O.C.No.1151-A/2012/F1	Offence – I.P.C u/s 506(1) is non bailable in nature.
73.	05.01.2012	in Dis.No.33/2012 Crl.O.P.(MD) Nos.13173, 12992 and 14409 of 2011 M.P.Nos.1 of 2011 in Crl.O.P.Nos. 12992 and 14409 of 2011. R.O.C.No.940-A/2012/F1 in P.Dis.No.18/2012	Mines and Minerals Act – Whether exclude IPC offence 379 – How court can take cognizance of final report.
74.	09.01.2012	O.S.A.No. 197 of 2006 and O.S.A.Nos. 370 to 374 of 2008 Thiru. P. Jothimani.J. and Thiru. M. Duraiswamy.J. R.O.C.No.80-A/2012/F1	Sivagiri Jamin suits pending in various courts stayed - not to alienate order.
75.	24.02.2012	Crl.Op.Nos. 29201 & 27638 of 2012 M.P.Nos.1 of 2011 and 1 of 2012 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	Artificial/Juristic person can be prosecuted. (Chief educational officer)

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
76.	28.02.2012	W.P.No.28941 of 2011 and M.P.Nos. 1 and 2 of 2011 2012 (3) MLJ 669	Any rule of the State Government restricting the right of consideration of the eligible candidates for public employment is unconstitutional being contrary to Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution.
77.	26.03.2012 04.06.2012	Crl.O.P.No.1138 of 2012 Thiru. T. Mathivanan.J. R.O.C.No.1848-A/2012/F1 in P.Dis.No.43/2012	Identification of Prisoners Act- finger prints – directions.
78.	17.04.2012	Crl.R.C.Nos.604 & 608 of 2011 and Crl.O.P.No.17428 of 2011 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	When the trial had been conducted by following the warrant procedure what would be the position if the Maistrate finds that the accused has committed an offence triable as a summons case? (Private complaint).
79.	10.05.2012 16.08.2012	Crl.O.P.Nos.7520 and 7521 of 2012 and 1157 of 2010 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J. R.O.C.No.155/2012/F1 in P.Dis.No.75/2012	Private complaint against police officials – C.J.M. Court has no Jurisdiction – concerned Jurisdiction Magistrate has power.
80.	09.08.2012	Crl.A.No.140 of 2008 Thiru. Madan B. Lokur, J.S.C	Right to legal aid Art 39A – During trial + appeal stage.
81.	17.09.2012 01.02.2013	W.P.No.2738 of 2010 and M.P.No.1 of 2010 Thiru. Elipe dharma rao.J. and Thiru. R. Subbiah.J. R.O.C.No.4633-A/2012/F1 in P.Dis.No.14/2013	Judicial officer should not issue community certificate.
82.	07.01.2013	M.P.Nos.2 of 2011 in W.P.Nos. 18872, 21126 of 2011 and M.P.No. 2 &3 of 2012 in W.P.No.8227, 8261 of 2012 and W.P.No.101196 of 2012	Charge sheet status quo order – land grabbing cases.
83.	23.01.2013	Crl. A.No. 1640 of 2010 Thiru. D.K. Jain.J. and Thiru.Jagdish singh khehar.J. S.C	NDPS cases – directions - the filing of the charge – sheet and supply of other documents must also be provided in electronic form. However, this direction must not be treated as a substitute for hard copies of the same which are indispensable for court proceedings.

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
84.	04.02.2013	Crl. A.No.361 of 2012 Thiru. K.N. Basha.J., and Thiru. P. Devadass	Confessional Statement – its usage + Evidence Act sec 114 presumption.
85.	22.02.2013	Civil A.No.1794 of 2013 (Arising out of Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.4782 of 2007) Smt. Ranjana Prakash Desai.J. S.C	Family courts shall make efforts to settle matrimonial dispute through mediation. Criminal courts shall refer complaint u/s 498(A) IPC to mediation.
86.	22.02.2013 15.03.2013	C.R.P.(PD) No.788 of 2013 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J. R.O.C.No.1086-A/2013/F1 in P.Dis.No.24/2013	Application of 037 C.P.C to all the lower courts in the state – Clarification.
87.	01.04.2013	Crl. M.P.No.1 of 2013 in Crl. A.No.866 of 2012 Thiru. K.N.Basha.J., and Thiru. P. Devadass.J.	A statement given by a witness u/s 164 Cr.P.C is like a previous statement given during investigation u/s 161 Cr.P.C., because it is not a 'substantive evidence'- such a statement of witness under section 164 Cr.P.C. cannot be
	30.04.2013	R.O.C.No.2050-A/2013/F1 in P.Dis.No.62/2013	used against the accused, when especially the deponent herself has turned hostile before the Trial Judge. However, such a statement can be used in favour of the accused.
88.	02.04.2013	Civil Appeal Nos. 4646 and 4647 of 2009 R.M.Lodha, J. Chelameswar and Thiru. Madan B.Lokur.J. S.C (Reshma Kumari case)	M.V Act – In the applications for compensation made under Section 166 of the 1988 Act in death cases where the age of the deceased is 15 years and above – In cases where the age of the deceased is upto 15 years, irrespective of the Section 166 or Section 163A under which the claim for compensation has been made- considering the claim applications made under section 166 in death cases where the age of the deceased is above 15 years – The claims Tribunals shall follow the steps and guidelines stated in para 19 of Sarla verma MANU SC 0606/2009: 2009 (6) SCC 121 for determination of compensation in cases of death – While making addition to income for future prospects, the Tribunals shall follow

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
			paragraph 24 of the judgement in Sarla verma: 2009 (6) SCC 121 – Deduction for personal and living expenses is concerned, it is directed that the Tribunals shall ordinarily follow the Standards prescribed in paragraphs 30, 31, and 32 of the judgment in Sarla verma.
89.	10.04.2013	C.R.P.(PD) No.1273 of 2013 and M.P.No. 1 of 2013 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	C.P.C Sec 16, 17 City civil court jurisdiction.
90.	03.05.2013	Crl.A.No.689 of 2013 (Arising out of S.L.P.(Crl.) No.6287 of 2011) Thiru. T.S. Thakur.J.2013 (6) SCC 770 = AIR 2013 (0) SC 2454 R.O.C.No.2655-A/2014/F1 in P.Dis.No.76/2014	302 IPC – 304(II) IPC Compensation u/s 357 Cr.P.C "May" "shall" Meaning.
91.	17.06.2013	C.A(MD) No.1456 of 2011 and M.P.(MD).No.2 of 2011 Thiru. N. Paul Vasanthkumar,J. and Thiru. P. Devadass. J.	A person involved in a criminal case can be suspended and he has no right to demand revocation of suspension till he is exonerated in the criminal case.
92.	05.07.2013 17.10.2013	C.M.S.A(MD) Sr.No.10267 of 2013 Thiru. G.M. Akbar ali R.O.C.No.5563-A/2013/F1	C.A - Struck off, restoration - C.R.P - Delay.
93.	29.07.2013 03.09.2013	C.P.(MD) No.416 of 2011 and M.P.(MD) No. 1 of 2011 Thiru. N.Paul Vasanthakumar.J. and Thiru. P. Devadass.J. R.O.C.No.4281-A/2013/F1 in P.Dis.No.107/2013	Contempt action - handcuffing accused - judicial officers are bound to take cognizance.
94.	20.01.2014	Crl. A.No.259 of 2009 Smt. Ranjana Prakash Desai.J.	Circumstantial evidence + Evidence Act Sections 101, 106, 113(a) (b) applicability.

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
95.	31.01.2014 20.01.2014	T&P SC (MD) No.68/2014 Criminal Appeal	Criminal Appeal No.259/2009 on the file of the Hon' ble Supreme Court of India, New Delhi Certified copy of the Judgment dt.20.1.2014 – Directed to be communicated – Regarding. If the viscera report is not received, the concerned court must ask for
		No.259/2009. Supreme Court of India.	explanation and must summon the concerned officer of the FSL to give an explanation as to why the viscera report is not forwarded to the investigating
		Smt. Ranjana Prakash Desai. J.	agency / Court. The Criminal court must ensure that it is brought on record".
96.	07.03.2014	Crl.O.P.No.15197 of 2013 and M.P.No.1 of 2013 Thiru. P. Devadass.J.	Cr.P.C 173 Negative Final report filed -
	04.04.2014	R.O.C.No.1445-A/2014/F1 in P.Dis.No.46/2014	next stage.
97.	02.07.2014	Crl. Appeal No.1277 of 2014 (Special Leave petition (Crl.)No.9127 of 2013)	Arrest – Reasons to be given by police + J.M. Should record reason – No automatic arrest.
	05.08.2014	R.O.C.No.2383/2014/F1 in P.Dis.No.88/2014	
98.	24.09.2014	Crl.M.P.No. 1 of 2014 in Crl.A.386/2014 Thiru. S Rajeswaran.J. and Thiru. P.N. Prakash.J.	Witnesses can be examined in the absence of defence counsel at the
	11.12.2014	R.O.C.No.5069-A/2014/F1 in P.Dis.No.106/2014	discretion of the court
99.	17.12.2014	Crl.O.P.No.29399 of 2014 and M.P.No.1 of 2014 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	Nomination of one J.M. to extend remand of all prisoners order by C.J.M -
	11.02.2015	R.O.C.No.346-A/2015/F1	illegal.
100.	19.12.2014	Crl.O.P.No.20008 of 2013 and M.P.No.1 of 2013 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.J.	Cr.P.C 176 - 1 A scope and Procedures.
	11.03.2015	R.O.C.No.1220-A/2015/F1	i ioceuties.
101.	23.12.2014	Crl.O.P.(MD)No.23509 of 2014	Accused involved in 6 cases furnishing surety. Court can accept very same

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
		Thiru. S. Vaidyanathan.J.	sureties for all cases.
	23.02.2015	R.O.C.No.849-A/2015/F1	
102.	21.01.2015	Crl.A.No.554 of 2012 Thiru. Dipak Misra.J. S.C.	It is not at all appreciable to call witnesses for cross examination after a
	11.02.2015	R.O.C.No.451-A/2015/F1	long span of time P.C Act conviction – witness turned Hostile during cross.
103.	02.02.2015	Crl.O.P.No.2381 of 2015 & M.P.Nos.1 and 2 of 2015 Ms. R. Mala.J.	Police custody C.R.P.76 affidavit format to be filed.
	11.03.2015	R.O.C.No.874-A/2015/F1	to be med.
104.	19.03.2015	Crl.A.No.781 of 2012 Thiru. Dipak Misra.J. S.C.	Sec.156(3) Cr.P.C affidavit to be filed in support of complaint – SARFAESI Act –
	06.05.2015	R.O.C.No.2456-A/2015/F1	Complaint against bank officials.
105.	18.06.2015	Crl.R.C.(MD)No.186 of 2015 Thiru. S. Nagamuthu.	When non bailable warrants can be
	31.07.2015	R.O.C.No.4094-A/2015/F1	issued while taking cognizance of final report.
106	18.08.2015	Crl. A. (MD) No.234 of 2011. Thiru S. Nagamuthu and Thiru V.S. Ravi.	Defects noticed in the matter of framing of charges – Judges and Magistrates, Presiding over the Criminal Courts,
	28.09.2015	Roc.No.2553-A/2015/F/MB	shall bestow their best attention in the matter of framing of charges as framing of charges is not a ministerial job but a very serious judicial function.
107	01.07.2015	Second Appeal (MD) No.357 of 2009.	Suit Governed under Art.64 or Art.65 -
		Thiru P.R. Shivakumar.	Starting point for limitation – C.P.C. Order 23, rule 2 – Counting of
	01.10.2015	Roc.No.2350-A/2015/F/MB	limitation.
108	21.01.2015	Criminal Appeal No.554 of 2012 (Supreme Court of India). Thiru Dipak Misra.J.	It is not all appreciable to call a witness for cross – examination after a long span of time. It is imperative if the examination – in –Chief is over, the cross – examination should be

Sl. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
	18.12.2015	Roc.No.7808- A/2015/F1/Regr (Judl)	completed on the same day. If the examination of a witness continues till late hours the trial can be adjourned to the next day for cross – examination. It is inconceivable in law that the cross – examination should be deferred for a long time.
109	25.09.2015	Crl.R.C.Nos.993 and 994 of 2015. Thiru S. Vaidyanathan.	i) No Advocate has right to stall the court proceedings on the ground that advocates have decided to strike or to boycott the courts or even boycott any particular Court. With the strike by the
	23.12.2015	Roc.No.7652-A/2015/F2. P.Dis.No.225/2015.	lawyers the process of court intended to secure justice is obstructed which is unwarranted under the provisions of the Advocates Act. ii) No Advocate shall be permitted to represent the matter without robes (dress-code) on boycott day; iii) The Courts below shall record the non-appearance of the Advocates due to boycott in the listed case proceedings and proceed with the matters on merits; iv) After recording such non- appearance of the Advocates, the Courts below shall report the same to the Bar Council of India for appropriate action and it would facilitate the litigants to pursue the matter with the Bar Council of India.
110	26.10.2015	Reference Case (MD) No. 1 / 2015. Thiru S. Nagamuthu and Thiru V.S. Ravi.	<ol> <li>Whether the word "address" referred in Paragraph No.31 (16) in the judgment of the Honourable Supreme Court is dealing with the Judicial power of the Sessions Judge or the administrative action of the Sessions Judge?</li> <li>If it is held to be a Judicial Power, any address made by the Sessions Judge is disobeyed what would be the enforcement mechanism for the</li> </ol>

S1. No	Judgment Date	Case No.	Subject
	23.12.2015	Roc.No.3160 - A/2015/F/(MB)	<ul> <li>Principal Sessions Judge to enforce the direction / Address?</li> <li>3) How the Sessions Judge can act in compliance with the directions of the Honourable Supreme Court without deviating the other pronouncement of the Honourable Supreme Court in the case of peoples Union for Civil Liberties Vs. State of Maharashtra, reported in 2015 Crl.L.J. 610 in respect of non – interference in the investigation and without deviating provision of the Criminal Procedure Code in a Harmonious manner?</li> <li>4) Whether the petition purported to be filed under Section 193 Cr.P.C. can be made to the Sessions Judge, who has no right to take direct cognizance of any offence?</li> <li>5) Whether the Sessions Judge while addressing the grievances as per the dictum of the Judgement of the Honourable Supreme Court can pass the order for transfer the investigation of I.P.S. Officer with regard to the appointment of officers as prayed for in the petition.</li> </ul>

## \* \* \* \* \* \* \*